How much have rising transport costs affected US import prices?

By Sebastian Stumpner

This blog post examines to what extent the recent surge in international transport costs has fuelled US import price inflation. While we find a moderate effect at the aggregate level, there are significant differences across products that are largely driven by the degree of containerisation.

**Chart 1: The share of transport costs has increased only for containerised goods**

Since the second half of 2020, there has been a rapid surge in the cost of shipping goods across borders. Leading indicators suggest an increase in these costs of 300-500% between late 2020 and early 2022. To what extent has this increase in international shipping costs fuelled import prices? Any increase in shipping costs would not show up in the official import price inflation published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), since prices underlying the BLS calculation are “free on board” (FOB) prices, which exclude international transport costs. This raises the question of whether the inflation experienced by US importers has actually been substantially larger than what is suggested by BLS numbers.
Using trade data to measure import price inflation

We use detailed US import data to measure import price inflation. These data have the advantage (e.g. over EU trade data) of having two values recorded for each transaction: a value including international transport costs (“cost, insurance and freight” or CIF), and a value excluding these costs (FOB). We can then construct two aggregate import price inflation rates, with the difference between these rates informing us about the increase in import prices due to rising transport costs.

The data on US imports are detailed by month, type of product (over 15,000) and origin country (over 200). For each month, product and origin country, we extract data on imported value (in USD) and quantity (for instance, the number of items). Unit values are then obtained by dividing the imported value by the total quantity imported.

Compared to BLS prices, which are prices of clearly identified products (such as a Samsung 800 watt microwave with a capacity of 22 litres), unit values are weighted average prices of all imported products within a narrowly defined category (such as microwave ovens with a capacity of less than 22.5 litres). Changes in unit values over time can then come from changes in the prices of individual goods, or from changes in the composition of goods within the category: if we increase imports of a more expensive microwave and reduce imports of a cheaper microwave, the unit value will go up even though the prices of individual items have not changed. While this problem cannot be completely resolved, we mitigate it by computing unit values at the finest level possible, that is, for each combination of a ten-digit trade code and a country of origin (called a “variety”). An example of a variety would be microwave ovens with a capacity of less than 22.5 litres imported from Malaysia. At this fine level, changes in unit values over time likely carry a lot of information about price changes.

The effect of rising transport costs on aggregate import prices has been modest...

With both CIF and FOB unit values in hand, we compute two aggregate price indices based on a Laspeyres formula. The price index based on FOB Customs unit values naturally differs from the BLS price index, because the former is based on unit values of virtually all import transactions while the second one is based on price changes of items sampled by the BLS. However, the two price indices are highly correlated, both in terms of level and twelve-month changes. (correlation of over 90%, blue and red lines, Chart 2). The CIF Customs price index (red dashed line) has risen faster than the FOB index, which reflects the surge in transport costs. Overall, we find that from January 2019 to December 2021, transport costs have added roughly 1.1 percentage point to the aggregate US import price index. This effect is not negligible, but small relative to the overall increase in FOB import prices of roughly 10 percentage points over the same time period. Moreover, it is likely an upper bound of the effect as foreign exporters may have reduced their prices in response to larger transport costs.
There are two main reasons for this small aggregate effect: (i) the initial share of transport costs was small (around 3% on average); and (ii) the rise in transport costs has mainly affected containerised products, which only account for about a third of total US imports.

Chart 1 shows the share of the total CIF import value that is accounted for by transportation costs, separately for containerised and non-containerised products. Products are defined as containerised if more than half of their 2019 imports arrived via containerised vessels. Containerised products accounted for roughly a third of total US imports in 2019. The chart shows that the initial share of transport costs in the total import value was small, at around 2% for non-containerised products and 4.5% for containerised products. Subsequently, this share increased but only for containerised products, reaching roughly 7% in the last quarter of 2021. Re-computing the CIF-FOB differential in import prices for containerised products only, we find an effect of +3.4 percentage points. However, since containerised products only account for about a third of total US imports, the increase in the aggregate import price index is more muted.

**... but in some sectors it has been large**

Even though the aggregate effect is modest in size, it differs substantially between industries. Across two-digit product codes, the increase in import prices due to transport costs is strongly related to the degree of containerisation. Chart 3 plots the cumulative CIF-
FOB differential in import prices from January 2019 to December 2021 against the degree of containerisation of that product. Some products (cars, aircrafts) have very low rates of containerisation and have hardly been affected by rising transport costs. Other products (carpets, toys) have seen a cumulative increase in prices of 4 percentage points or even more. On average, a 10-percentage point higher share of containerised products in 2019 is associated with a 0.45-percentage point higher inflation differential between January 2019 and December 2021 (slope of the blue line in Chart 3). For some sectors with high containerisation rates, BLS import prices might therefore significantly understate the price increase faced by US importers.

**Chart 3: Containerised products have suffered more from rising transport costs**

![Chart showing the relationship between the share of containerized imports and the FOB differential in import prices from January 2019 to December 2021.](chart3)

*Source:* Author’s calculations based on data from TradeDataMonitor.

*Note:* Each point is a two-digit HS code. Vertical axis: percentage-point difference between the CIF and FOB price indices in December 2021 (January 2019 = 100).