Historical lessons from large increases in government debt
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Sharp increases in government debt have occurred in post-war periods. While inflation, albeit at times moderate, was the norm in the 20th century, this had not occurred in previous centuries, even though wars had led to similar increases in public debt. One of the reasons for this is that this debt was set aside in a sinking fund for repayment at a later date.

Chart 1: Inflation and government debt in the United Kingdom (1700-1950)

In the public psyche, post-war periods are associated with inflation and even hyperinflation. As wars result in higher public debt, it is common to associate debt with inflation. This impression stems from studies of the 20th century wars. But when older cases are considered, this impression changes. The 18th century and Napoleonic wars resulted in debt ratios of over 100% of GDP with little inflation, as was the case in England until 1914 (Chart 1).
Increases in government debt are therefore not always inflationary. Why? How have governments reduced their post-war debt since 1715? What can we learn about debt management?

**Timing is of the essence and the most complex factor**

Wars disrupt market economies: monetary and fiscal policies become dictated by military spending, thus generating excess liquidity among private agents. In the post-war period, economic recovery can be thwarted due to the short-term fiscal dilemma and the "tragedy of the economic horizons".

On the fiscal side, the government has a conflict of objectives in terms by how much to reduce the budget deficit: new civilian spending weighs on the deficit while the government has to start paying down its debt. The choice is tricky because government spending that improves supply (e.g. the rehabilitation of mines or the transport network in France after 1918 or 1945) reduces bottlenecks and speeds up the recovery.

Governments face a "tragedy of horizon" between short-term support to GDP growth prospects in the short run and a reduction of the debt burden in the long-term. Fiscal consolidation would restore trust in the government's creditworthiness and thus limit debt crises. But it would depress growth by limiting government spending on activities essential to the recovery and thus undermine the creation of the resources needed to repay the debt.

In this respect, not all post-war periods have been equal. Without a solution to the tragedy of the economic horizons, inflation would accelerate, with all players protecting themselves from an unsustainable fiscal trajectory. Without a solution to the fiscal dilemma, growth would be weak, amplifying the fiscal difficulties.

**Inflation and the post-1918 fiscal dilemma**

In the wake of 1918, it proved impossible to reconcile these constraints. The scale of national debt pushed governments to the edge of a cliff. Disagreements over fiscal policy and capital taxation led to capital flight and debt or foreign exchange crises. Inflation rose with every fiscal or foreign exchange crisis as well as due to increased demand. It undermined trust in the government's creditworthiness as it reduced real fiscal resources while the debt was partly denominated in gold.

A vicious circle sometimes set in: debt crises have hampered recovery, amplifying repayment problems. In Germany and Austria, the monetary financing of government and private spending degenerated into hyperinflation and led to a formal default.

**Debt reduction mechanisms**

Between 1815 and 1914 and again after 1945, many countries overcame the fiscal dilemma and the tragedy of economic horizons by staggering debt repayments and avoiding
restrictive fiscal measures. This was achieved by transferring part of the debt either to commercial banks after 1945 - through financial repression - or to dedicated organisations before 1914.

After 1815, the debt was repaid without default and without significant inflation - or even with deflation in the United Kingdom – as the gold standard was a commitment to return to a balanced budget. In the United Kingdom the debt fell from 213% of GDP in 1815 to 154% in 1825 (Chart 1). Population growth - which increased by a factor of 2.4 between 1815 and 1913 - reduced the debt to GDP ratio by 125 percentage points.

After 1945, inflation reduced the real debt burden. In the United States, government debt was brought down by one-third between 1946 and 1952 by keeping interest rates low during periods of moderate inflation (Chart 2). The Treasury-Fed Accord of 4 March 1951 limited debt monetisation. Overall, between 1945 and 1974, government debt fell by 83 percentage points of GDP, with 20% attributable to negative real interest rates and 40% to either growth or the budget surplus.

Chart 2: Inflation and government debt as a percentage of GDP in the United States (1939-55)

A brilliant idea from the past: the securitisation of debt in the 18th and 19th centuries through sinking funds

The repayment of war debt has always taken place over a long period- i.e. at least 20 years. A common solution to relieve the pressure of these debts on the ordinary budget was to transfer it to a dedicated organisation whose resources - the proceeds of a tax or monopoly - were used for its gradual repayment.
England securitised around 40% of the debt inherited from the many costly wars of the 18th century in three large companies that were granted public monopoly status by Parliament: the East India Company, the Bank of England and, to a lesser extent, the South Sea Company.

In France, after 1815, government debt was securitised at the Caisse des dépôts et consignations and its sister institution, the Caisse d'amortissement. According to the former’s Director-General at the time, Jean Bérenger, the deposits and consignments they received enabled them to "separate [the government] from the daily servicing of arrears," thus mitigating the 25 percentage point of GDP increase in debt caused by the payment of war reparations to the Holy Alliance.

After 1815, Austria, the Netherlands and Norway successfully transferred outstanding debts to an independent central bank created for this purpose, using the seigniorage income to service the government debt held.

Using the central bank as a sinking fund is however no easy matter. History, especially in the 18th century, is populated with the ghosts of central banks that disappeared in debt securitisation attempts. Examples of this included John Law's Royal Bank in France under the Regency, the Bank of Vienna at the turn of the 19th century or the Swedish Riksbank in the 18th century. These failures were due to a lack of independence from the sovereign or a default on the securitised debt. Such defaults destroyed confidence in the currency twice in Spain in the 19th century and in France with the Caisse d'Escompte in 1788-1792. The lack of independence led to regular renegotiations with the sovereign each time a fiscal episode occurred, jeopardising the circulation of banknotes following a rush on the central bank and ultimately leading to its dissolution or reestablishment. This resulted in inadequate money creation.

**Conditional lessons**

Any shock that massively increases government debt does not necessarily have disastrous consequences in terms of defaults or high inflation. But resuscitating the economy after a prolonged period of inactivity requires reviving economic activity, at a time when managing the debt repercussions is putting a strain on public finances. The solution of our ancestors was financial engineering to separate current fiscal choices from disagreements on financing debt repayment.